GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2017

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

BILL NUMBER: House Bill 297 (First Edition)

SHORT TITLE: Amend Habitual DWI.

SPONSOR(S): Representatives Jackson, Hurley, Bert Jones, and Saine

| ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No Estimate Available | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| NET STATE IMPACT Likely budget cost. See Assumptions & Methodology section for additional details. | | | | | | | |
| PRINCIPAL DEPARTMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFECTED: Administrative Office of the Courts; Indigent Defense Services; Department of Public Safety EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 2017 TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None | | | | | | | |
| | Likely budg TMENT(S) & PRO the Courts; Indigent December 1, 2017 | (\$ in mi Yes No FY 2017-18 FY 2018-19 Likely budget cost. See Assum EMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFEC the Courts; Indigent Defense Services; December 1, 2017 | FY 2017-18 FY 2018-19 FY 2019-20 Likely budget cost. See Assumptions & Methodolo EMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFECTED: the Courts; Indigent Defense Services; Department of Public Secondary 1, 2017 | (\$ in millions) Yes | | | |

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY:

The proposed bill may have a fiscal impact to address the expanded scope of an existing offense. However, given that there is no historical data on this new offense or similar offenses to use as a proxy for predicting the total number of new offenses, the Fiscal Research Division cannot reasonably estimate the total additional costs that may be incurred. The following costs may be incurred for every one person charged and convicted of this crime:

- Administrative Office of the Courts: \$780 per disposition
- Indigent Defense Services: (\$25) to \$549 per indigent defendant
- Department of Public Safety (DPS) Prisons: \$7,259 per active sentence
- DPS Community Corrections: Minimum of \$1,332 per conviction

Please see the Assumptions and Methodology section for additional information.

BILL SUMMARY:

This bill amends existing G.S. 20-138.5(1), Habitual impaired driving. The statute currently states that a person commits the offense of habitual impaired driving if he drives while impaired and has been convicted

of three or more impaired driving offenses within ten years of the date of the offense. The proposed legislation stipulates that a person may be convicted of habitual impaired driving if they drive while impaired and have been convicted of two or more impaired driving offenses within ten years of the date of the offense or if they have previously been convicted of habitual impaired driving.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Therefore, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

S.L. 2011-192 (H.B. 642), the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), made changes to North Carolina's court system, corrections system (both to prisons and probation), and to post-release supervision. All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses.

JRA also created the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) for housing misdemeanants with sentences between 90 and 180 days in county jails (misdemeanants with shorter sentences were already the responsibility of the counties). County participation in the program is voluntary. The SMCP pays participating counties for misdemeanants' housing, transportation, and medical costs. In 2014, the program was expanded to include all misdemeanants with sentences longer than 90 days. The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission does not track county jail capacity, so it is not possible to estimate the impact of new or increased misdemeanor penalties on county jails.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. For such bills, fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in trials and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

AOC's database tracks the number of convictions and the related prior record scores and offense levels; however they are unable to track actual prior convictions. They can tell that a defendant has a prior conviction for a Class F felony, but not what offense the defendant committed to achieve the prior record. Therefore, AOC does not know precisely how many individuals have been convicted of two or more impaired driving offenses. In fiscal year 2015-16, 267 defendants were charged with the existing Class F felony offense of habitual impaired driving (individuals who were charged with their fourth or subsequent offense of driving while impaired). For the same time period there were an additional 52,673 charges for other types of driving while impaired offenses. Because AOC data does not contain information on the specific offenses used to calculate the prior record, there is no data available upon which to estimate how many of those defendants were charged with their third driving while impaired offense who would therefore be eligible to be prosecuted under the offense of habitual impaired driving.

DWI offenses are misdemeanor offenses that cost the court approximately \$357 per case. Class F felony offenses cost approximately \$1,137 per case. Therefore, for every additional person charged with this offense, AOC estimates the average cost to the court would be \$780 (\$1,137 Class F felony minus \$357 Class A1 misdemeanor).

The Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for criminal penalty bills that may result in greater expenditures for indigent defense. IDS states that the increased penalty may result in a more vigorous defense. The following chart shows the difference in defense costs for Misdemeanor DWIs and Class F felonies.

| IDS Cost Differential Misdemeanor DWI and Class F Felony | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Misdemeanor DWI | Class F Felony | Difference | | |
| District Court | \$310 | \$346 | \$36 | | |
| Superior Court | \$768 | \$743 | (\$25) | | |
| Superior Court w/Trial | \$1,846 | \$2,395 | \$549 | | |

These estimates assume the appointment of a PAC attorney. In districts that have Public Defender offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, these costs may not be incurred.

Department of Public Safety - Prisons

The chart below depicts the projected inmate population relative to available prison bed capacity system-wide. Capacity projections assume operation at Expanded Operating Capacity, and represent the total number of beds in operation, or authorized for construction or operation as of December 2015.

Based on the most recent population projections and estimated bed capacity, there are surplus prison beds available for the five-year fiscal note horizon and beyond. Therefore, no additional beds will be required unless the projected number of additional inmates resulting from a bill (row four) exceeds the projected number of beds under the inmate population (row three).

House Bill 297 (First Edition)

3

¹ Expanded Operating Capacity (EOC) is: 1) the number of single cells housing one inmate, 2) the number of single cells housing two inmates, and 3) the number of beds in dormitories, allowing between 35 (130% of Standard Operating Capacity) and 50 (SOC) square feet per inmate.

| Population Projections and Bed Capacity Five Year Impact | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | June 30 2018 | June 30 2019 | June 30 2020 | June 30 2021 | June 30 2022 |
| 1. Inmates ² | 37,304 | 37,601 | 37,367 | 37,385 | 37,642 |
| 2. Prison Beds (Expanded Capacity) | 38,373 | 38,373 | 38,373 | 38,373 | 38,373 |
| 3. Beds Over/(Under) Inmate Population | 1,069 | 772 | 1,006 | 988 | 731 |
| 4. Additional Inmates Due to this Bill ³ | No estimate available | | | | |
| 5. Additional Beds Required | | | | | |

This bill redefines the Class F felony of habitual impaired driving, increasing the eligible pool of offenders for that offense. It is not known how many additional offenders would be convicted and sentenced for habitual impaired driving under the proposed bill. Regular driving while impaired offenses are misdemeanor offenses. People convicted of these offenses serve active sentences in the county jails. Increasing those sentences to the Class F felony offense of habitual impaired driving will result in an impact on the prison system. The Sentencing Commission does not have the historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population. A threshold analysis is provided when it is not known how many offenders might be convicted and sentenced as a result of the proposed change. For each offense class, the threshold estimate is the number of convictions that result in the need for one prison bed in the first year.

In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 17 months. Nine months of post-release supervision is required upon release from prison following an active sentence or revocation of probation. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were two convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year. The five year estimate takes into account the combination of active sentences and probation and post-release supervision violations resulting in confinement, as well as growth rates adopted by the Sentencing Commission's Forecasting Technical Advisory Group.

| Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Class F Felony | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Convictions | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| 2 (Threshold) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

In addition to the capital costs that may be associated with additional bed needs, there are also per diem costs for housing inmates. The cost to add one additional inmate to the prison system is \$15.02 per day, or \$457 per month, which includes the cost of food, clothing, and health care. In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences averaging 17 months. For every one Class F felony offender

² The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares inmate population projections annually. These projections are derived from: historical information on incarceration and release rates under Structured Sentencing; crime rate forecasts by a technical advisory group; probation and offender revocation rates; and the decline (parole and max-outs) of the stock prison population sentenced under prior sentencing acts. Projections were updated in February 2017.

³ Criminal penalty bills effective December 1, 2017 should not affect prison population and bed needs until FY 2018-19 due to the lag time between offense charge and sentencing - six months on average. No delayed effect is presumed for the Court System.

receiving an active sentence, the cost to the prison section will be \$7,259 (\$457 monthly cost times 17 months).

Department of Public Safety - Community Corrections

All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses. Additionally, for felony offense classes F through I offenders may be given non-active (intermediate or community) sentences exclusively, or in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Sanctions include electronic house arrest, community service, substance abuse treatment, participation in educational or vocational skills development, payment of court costs, fines, and restitution, and short-term jail sentences not exceeding six days per month.

JRA essentially eliminated the distinction between "community" and "intermediate" supervision. Under structured sentencing, the two types of supervision were each defined by a set of specific sanctions. Under JRA, both community and intermediate probation may now include electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

All types of post-release supervision are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS); CCS also oversees community service. Supervision by a probation officer costs \$148 per offender, per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision and supervised probations.

In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences. All active sentences for Class F through I felonies result in nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 32 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one conviction resulting from this bill will require at least nine months of supervision. The cost of nine months of supervision is \$1,332 per offender (\$148 per month times nine months). For every offender sentenced to probation, the average cost would be \$4,736 (\$148 per month times 32 months).

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Kristine Leggett

APPROVED BY:

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division

⁴ Due to the effective date of December 1, 2017 and the typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months), little impact is assumed for CCS in FY 2017-18. Though some offenders may come under CCS supervision during this time, this note assumes an even entry over the course of FY 2018-19.



DATE: March 29, 2017

Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices